

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONE FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/633,625	08/05/2003	Kyeong Jin Kim	041501-5455-01	6368
9629	7590 04/09/2004		EXAMINER	
MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP			DI GRAZIO, JEANNE A	
1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW WASHINGTON, DC 20004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2871	
			DATE MAILED: 04/09/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/633,625	KIM, KYEONG JIN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jeanne A. Di Grazio	2871				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timy within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	· _					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 11-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 11-18 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc		Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati nity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 082003.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Priority to Korean Patent Application P2000-0066138 (Nov. 8, 2000) is claimed.

This is a Continuation Patent Application of prior application 09/986,189 now US 6,642,992 B2.

Status of Claims

Per transmittal received August 5, 2003, claims 1-10 and 19-21 have been cancelled.

Claims 11-18 are pending.

Claim Objections

Claim 18 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Per claim 18, the preamble recites "[t]he *method* according to claim 17." However, claim 18 and all preceding claims are drawn to a liquid crystal display *device*.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kagawa et al. (US 6,265,034 B1) in view of Sekine et al. (US 6,313,894 B1).

Per claims 11 and 14: Kagawa teaches and discloses a liquid crystal display device.

Kagawa has, with reference to Figure 2, a first substrate (3), a second substrate (3) opposing the

first substrate, and at least one liquid crystal layer (8) between the first and second substrates wherein the liquid crystal layer has at least a photo-reactive material (ABS, entire patent). It may be presumed that the photo-reactant material includes one of a photo-reactant polymer and photo-reactant oligomer (Applicant's claim 14).

Kagawa does not appear to explicitly specify at least one sealant along a periphery of one of the first and second substrates.

Sekine teaches and discloses, with reference to Figures 5A and 5B, a main sealing agent (13) along a periphery of one of first (11) and second (12) substrates. The main sealing agent (13) is used in the display to secure a liquid crystal material between substrates and to contain the liquid crystal material in a display region. The main sealing agent (13) serves to maintain the opposite glass and active matrix substrates parallel to each other and to contribute to a constant distance between the substrates (Column 7, Lines 43-48).

Sekine is evidence that ordinary workers in the field of liquid crystal displays would have had the reason, suggestion, and motivation to provide a sealant along a periphery of one of first and second substrates to keep the substrates parallel with each other and to maintain a constant distance between the substrates.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of liquid crystal displays at the time the invention was made to modify Kagawa in view of Sekine to incorporate at least one sealant along a periphery of one of first and second substrates into a liquid crystal display device (1) to contain the liquid crystal layer and photo-reactive material in a display region, (2) to contribute to the substrates remaining parallel with each other, and (3) to maintain a constant distance between the substrates.

Application/Control Number: 10/633,625

Art Unit: 2871

Page 4

Per claims 12 and 13: The main sealing agent may include thermosetting resins, UV-cured resins, and dual active resins which can harden in the presence of UV rays as well as heating (Column 7, Lines 43-48).

Per claim 15: Though not illustrated, Sekine presumably contains at least an alignment layer for alignment of the liquid crystal layer (17).

Per claim 16: Sekine has, with reference to Figure 7, black stripes (222) (Applicant's light-shielding layer), a color filter (fluorescence layer, 221), and common electrode (223) all on opposing substrate (220) as conventional elements of a color active matrix display.

Per claim 17: Sekine, with respect to Figure 5B, illustrates at least one spacer (14) to maintain substrate gap.

Per claim 18: The spacer of Figure 5B (spacer 14) appears columnar in shape.

Art Unit: 2871

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeanne A. Di Grazio whose telephone number is (571)272-2289. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Kim, can be reached on (571)272-2293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jeanne Andrea Di Grazio

Patent Examiner Art Unit 2871 Robert Kim, SPE

2800